

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEL'ARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 8106 Vladimir Prerad 288476-00001 03/05/2002 10/091,308 EXAMINER 7590 04/22/2004 CANTELMO, GREGG Richard V. Westerhoff ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LCC ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 600 Grant Street, 44th Floor 1745 Pittsburgh, PA 15219

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/091,308	PRERAD, VLADIMIR
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		1745
Ti MAN NO DATE SHip communication an	Gregg Cantelmo	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		_
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-32 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	own from consideration. For election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 March 2002</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>July 5, 2002</u> .	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-9 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claim 1 is generic and allowable. Accordingly, the restriction requirement as to the encompassed species is hereby withdrawn and claims 10 and 11, directed to the species of the combustion engine are no longer withdrawn from consideration since all of the claims to this species depend from or otherwise include each of the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the linked species, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable generic linking claim(s) be presented in a continuation or divisional application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Claims 12-32 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement filed July 5, 2002 has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Art Unit: 1745

Drawings

5. The drawings received March 5, 2002 are acceptable for examination purposes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the gas storage system and hydrogen-to-electricity converter must be connected, for the apparatus to meet the functional limitations defined in the claim. For example, the valving system maintains pressure of the hydrogen flows to the gas storage system. Thus in order to do this, the storage system must effectively be connected to receive the gases. Additionally, in order for the apparatus to generate electricity as claimed, the hydrogen-to-electricity converter must be connected to system else the apparatus will not be able to regenerate electricity as claimed.

Applicant is advised to amend the term "connectable" in claim 1 to -- connected-to overcome this rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 1745

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: none of the prior art of record is considered to teach, suggest or render obvious the invention of claim 1.

For example, none of the prior art of record are considered to teach or suggest the apparatus of claim 1 comprising the electrolyzer, gas collection system, gas storage system, hydrogen-to-electricity converter and valving system.

U.S. patent No. 6,093,306 (Hanrahan) discloses a power system comprising an electrolyzer, gas collection and storage means, fuel cell and valving system. However Hanrahan does not teach or suggest of the gas collection system as defined in claim 1.

JP 2001-152378 discloses and electrolyzing system having first and second gas and water storage tanks. The water from the hydrogen tank 10 is not arranged such that the water is forced into the electrolyzer 20. It appears that the water from tank 10 is forced into heat exchanger 13 for heat exchange and subsequently discharged from the system. Additionally JP 2001-152378 fails to disclose of the gas storage system, hydrogen-to-electricity converter and valving system as defined in claim 1.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 1745

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPAT Nos. 4,749,462, 5,783,051, 4,323,442 and 5,302,270 as well as EP 1243671 each discloses of various electrolytic cell systems.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregg Cantelmo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. FAXES received after 4 p.m. will not be processed until the following business day. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregg Cantelmo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

April 16, 2004